

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator...I guess, I'm a little bit confused. Is the amendment to the amendment Senator Hall's and...

PRESIDENT: No.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...it's Senator Wesely's?

PRESIDENT: Senator Wesely's.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Wesely, would you just take a minute and, again, just a minute and a half, if you can, or so, and again, explain to me what the amendment to the amendment will do.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I'm sorry, and I know you've done that two or three times and I apologize for that.

SENATOR WESELY: No, no, what I did was I asked for no questions because this came from John Cederberg. John looked at our...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: That's another reason why I think I should ask some questions.

SENATOR WESELY: Well, what he said was that there are certain...it's on one of the handouts, you'll see, and it goes through it, but it's mostly technical in nature. For instance, we talked about one of the items we wanted information on was how much of the credits went to shareholders and he indicated, well, it really wouldn't go to shareholders. The better question we need to ask, what we're trying to find was how much of the credits go to the corporate tax, how much are then used for individual tax reduction, just to get a delineation there, and so that's one of the changes. We get at the issue better and he helped us try and identify more clearly how to get the information that we needed. Also, the information would be, on employees would be on a quarterly count instead of an uncertain date. It's easier for them to do that on that basis, and so mostly he was looking at it from an ease of compliance viewpoint. I have no problem with what he was trying to do.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Wesely. I hope Mr. Cederberg has a better grasp of this concept than he did the